

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		LING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/258,013	(	02/25/1999	ALOK KUMAR SRIVASTAVA	50277-236	50277-236 3268	
29989	7590	05/06/2003				
		MO TRUONG &	EXAMINER PRIETO, BEATRIZ			
1600 WILL SAN JOSE,						
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2142		
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  Examiner B. Prieto 2142  —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— Period for Reply  A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of the may be available under the provision of 37°CR 1.13(0). In no event, however, may a reply to timely filled the period for may be available, when the the provision of 37°CR 1.13(0). In no event, however, may a reply to timely filled the period for may be available, when the third (30) days, a reply within the abandors enhanced thirth (30) days, a reply within the order developed will apply and the specification to blooms ABANCOCHED (30 U.S.C. § 1.13).  I have period for may be specified allows the last hard in the price of the period of the communication.  False to reply whith the soft or enhanced princip for may will, by admin, cause the application to blooms ABANCOCHED (30 U.S.C. § 1.13).  Since this application is in condition for raply well, and the specification to blooms ABANCOCHED (30 U.S.C. § 1.13).  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
B. Prieto  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edutations of time may be available under the previous of 3° CPR 1.13(o). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Bit the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DJ. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (DJ. days will be considered timely).  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DJ. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (DJ. days will be considered timely).  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (DJ. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (DJ. days will be considered timely).  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutery period will appear so (M. MOMTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any septy reserved by the Collect than thine months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Any septy reserved by the Collect than thine months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Any septy reserved by the Collect than the months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Any septy reserved by the Collect than the months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Claim(s)		09/258,013	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of their marghe evaluation of 30 CFR 1136(a). In ore word, however, may a raply be limitly filed  Ederations of their marghe evaluation of 30 CFR 1136(b). In ore word, however, may a raply be limitly filed  If the period for reply accepted above is less than their (00) days, as reply within the statebory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply accepted above, the maximum statebory pool will apply and will expire 31(b) (00 MONTH) from the malling date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sot or extended prepried for reply will, by statution, because the septimization to become ABANCHORD (26 U.52.5, § 133).  If the period for reply accepted for the second states of the communication of the properties of the communication of the communication of the properties of the communication of the communication of the properties of the properties of the communication of the communication of the properties of the communication of the properties of the communication of the communi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edension of time may be available under the professor of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Edension of time may be available under the professor of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Edension of time may be available under the professor of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Edension of time may be available under the professor of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the pends for reply is specified above, the maximum solution yearly within the saturary minimum of thing (30) deep will be considered timely.  If the pends for reply is specified above, the maximum solution yearly will be possible to the professor.  If the pends to reply is specified above, the maximum solution yearly will be possible to the pends of the communication.  Failure to may will be considered timely filed on 19 CP Expury 2003.  Status  1) Separative to communication(s) filed on 19 CP Expury 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)		L					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be a simple under the provision of 37 CPR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SD. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended above, the maintenant ballatory period triagely and will energy SD. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended price for reply will. by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office of the Themse more state the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objectives. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objectives. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objective. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objective. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objective. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants term objective. But the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any semical plants.  - Any reply received by the State of							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9.11-19 and 21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9.11-19 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9.11-19 and 21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9.11-19 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	ebruary 2003 .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19 and 21</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-9, 11-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	//_ `	_					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 2/19/03; claims 1-9, 11-19 and 21 remain pending and are hereby set forth for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action may be found in previous office action.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 11-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iba et. al. (Iba) U.S. Patent No. 5,835,766.

Regarding claim 1, Iba teaches features of the invention substantially as claimed, teaching a distributed transaction processing system/method, (abstract), including;

registering in a table (name service) data that identifies a plurality of participants (col 10/lines 38-57) that are participating in said distributed operation (col 8/lines 45-65, col 9/lines 15-39);

said distributed operation is a complete or indivisible transaction (unit of work) involving said plurality of participants (col 2/lines 34-43, executing processes, col 1/lines 51-58);

said name service registers information received from clients (col 7/lines 66-col 8/line 5);

said name services registers information received from client (col 4/lines 1-32, col 7/lines 66-col 8/line 5) and provides said information to clients (e.g. 17b) that require the information to retrieve said registered information (col 4/lines 23-62);

however prior art does not teach wherein said name service provides said participant data to a node different than a node on which said service resides, that request said participant data;

(Kanai teach a system/method related to distributed transaction processing (abstract, col 1/lines 10-15), including;

registering in a name service server data that identifies a plurality of participants of distributed transaction processing (col 12/lines 62-col 13/line 8, Fig. 26);

a client includes a node different than where the name service server resides and configured to request information registered at the name service server (col 9/lines 20-45);

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include Kanai's teachings into Iba's system to enable a client node to request participating data residing on another node, wherein participant data includes information about participants in distributed transaction process, motivation would be to request participating data including processing to be executed in the other transaction identifiers processing nodes and the transaction identifiers of processing nodes currently participating in distributed processing transactions, as taught by Kanai.

Regarding claim 2, retrieve said participant data in response to said particular node performing deadlock detection (Iba: col 4/lines 12-32, col 7/lines 66-col 8/line 5).

Regarding claim 3, said distributed operation is a distributed transaction (abstract, col 7/lines 32-40); and registering in a name service participant data that identifies which database servers of a plurality of database servers are participating in said distributed transaction (Iba: col 7/lines 32-40, col 9/line 9-14, database: Kanai: col 1/lines 51-55).

Regarding claim 4, causing modifying to said participant data to identify a new participant in said distributed operation (Iba: col 9/lines 25-45).

Regarding claim 5, said distributed operation is a distributed database transaction being executed by a set of processes coordinated by a coordinator process (Iba: col 1/lines 20-24, 58-42, col 7/lines 32-45); said coordinator process causing a new process on a database server to participate in said distributed database transaction (Iba: col 8/lines 9/lines 40-45); and causing updates to said participant data in response to said new process participating in said distributed database transaction (Iba: col 9/lines 54-58, Kanai: database col 1/lines 51-56).

Regarding claim 6, comprises combined limitations discussed on claims 1-3 and 5, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 7, this claim includes limitation address on claims 1-5, same rationale of rejection is applicable, further, assigning a transaction identifier to said distributed database transaction (Iba: col 9/lines 24-39, col 10/lines 52-56);

registering in said name service data that associates said participant data with said transaction identifier (Iba: col 9/lines 24-39); and

causing node to request from said name service (published) data associated with said transaction identifier (Kanai: col 9/lines 20-45).

Regarding claim 8, said name service receiving a request from a first process to supply said participant data, wherein said name service and said first process reside on said particular node (Kanai: col 9/lines 20-45).

Regarding claim 9, retrieving said participant data from one data structures residing on said particular node in response to receiving said request (Kanai: col 9/lines 20-45).

Regarding claims 11-19, these claim comprises the computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instruction(s) for performing the method disclosed on claim 1, same rationale of rejection is applicable to the apparatus claim(s).

Regarding claim 21, registering for each participant in said plurality of participants, data that identifies said each participant in response to said each participant commencing participation in said distributed transaction (Iba: col 2/lines 1-12, col 5/lines 43-50).

4. Claims 1 and 11 as amended may also be are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Den Berg U.S. Patent No. 5,459,871 I view of Neches et. al. U.S. Patent No. 4,412,285.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Van Den Berg teaches a system/method related to distributing transaction-processing (abstract) including;

registering in a storage medium ("name service") (col 1/lines 44-49) participant data that identifies a plurality of participants (col 5/lines 29-59) that are participating in a distributed transaction process (col 3/lines 3-12, col 2/lines 43-66);

said distributed transaction operation is a unit of a process (unit of work) involving said plurality of processors, i.e. participants (col 2/lines 51-66);

said name services registers information received from client including a node (col 2/lines 13-21, col 3/lines 40-53); however prior art does not teach wherein said name service provides said participant data to a node different than a node on which said service resides, that request said participant data;

Neches teaches a system/method related to distributed transaction processing (abstract), including configuring a causing a node to request status (participant) data (query status: col 13lines 59-63, col 14/lines 16-34 transaction identities, col 3/lines 41-54, query to other nodes: col 10/lines 50-61) including

data that identifies a participant that is participating in a distributed transaction process (Figs. 10-11) data registered in the requested node (col 8/lines 54-57);

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Neches to cause a not that requires information about participants in a distribution transaction operation to request participant data to a node different from the requesting node for stored information including the identity of processes participating in a distributed operation, motivation to enable a distributed update communication scheme wherein a client may ascertain the current status of processor performing task and analyze the retrieved data and ascertain when and how the resources have changed, where nodes can be interrogated with the a minimum of overhead.

## Response to arguments

5. Applicant argues (a) prior art does not teach claim limitation as recited, specifically, registering in a name service participant data identifies a plurality of participants that are participating in said distributed operation, because according to applicant the reference teaches identifying entities that can participate in a distributed operation, but does not disclose or suggest that participants involved in a task are tracked in any way, therefore does not teach the use of a name service to store participant data that identifies participants in a name service.

In response to argument a, (i) according to applicant disclosure discussed as background, "typically, nodes that are executing entities may be involved in a deadlock cooperate operation to generate the distributed wait-for-graph which identifies which nodes may be executing entities involved in a possible deadlock" (see page 2, lines 26-page 3, line 5); (ii) prior art teaches that deadlocks are detectable between a plurality of transactions (participant) accessing a plurality of files (resources), exclusive control is performed to occupy hardware resources when accessed enabling a deadlock detector to generate a wait-for relations as to the occupation of hardware resources and the plurality of transactions to be executed (Iba: col 2/lines 1-13), the exclusive control is executed while a certain transaction is executing processing with occupying a certain file, when another transaction accesses the file, this transaction which has accessed the file is not immediately made to access the file, and is made to wait to occupy the file (Iba: col 1/lines 42-58); Therefore prior art teaches registering in a storage (name service) participant data identifies a plurality of participants that are participating in said distributed operation.

6. Applicant argues (b) prior art does not teach or suggest in any way, claim limitation as recites, specifically, particularly a node requesting participant data from a name service, wherein the clients includes nodes different than a node on which the name service resides, because according to applicant the reference teaches that the wait-for graph and the local and global managers that access it are on the same node.

In response to argument (b), prior art teaches a node requesting data from a name service wherein the client node is different than the node on which the name service resides (Kanai: col 9/lines 20-45); Further teaching teaches a wait-for graph (name service), which stores wait-for relation between transactions and is used for detecting a deadlock, including an address of a node connected to a self node on a shared memory, each node corresponding to each transaction, and a transaction identifier of the self node (Iba: col 5/lines 43-50). Therefore the prior art of record teaches, wherein in a distributed system, particularly a node requesting participant data from a name service, wherein the clients includes nodes different than a node on which the name service reside.

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but not rendered persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prieto, B. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0750. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mark R. Powell can be reached on (703) 305-9703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6606. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or Faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, for Official communications and entry

Or:

(703) 746-7240, for Non-Official or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Or Telephone:

(703) 306-5631 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist), further ensuring that a receipt is provided stamped "TC 2100".

B/Prieto TC 2100 Patent Examiner April 27, 2003

> MARK R. POWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2400